

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,968	12/13/2004	Roger Boen	034299-611	1948
7550 08/26/2008 Thelen Reid & Priest PO Box 640640 San Jose, CA 95164-0640			EXAMINER	
			KERNS, KEVIN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/517.968 BOEN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Kevin P. Kerns 1793 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/517,968 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally <u>limited to a single paragraph</u> on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

In this instance, the abstract is written in two paragraphs and includes the legal terms "comprises" and "means".

Art Unit: 1793

 The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because "Figure 2" should be deleted from the end of the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP \$ 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terms "low enough" and "little electrically conductive" in claim 9 are relative terms which render the claim indefinite. The term "low enough" and "little electrically conductive" are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1793

 Claims 1-9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0 403 138.

As to claims 1, 2, 9, and 12, EP '138 discloses a device <u>capable for</u> fusion and agitation on <u>two immiscible phases</u>. The device comprising a crucible (10), fusion and agitation means (16 and 18 of Figure 1; and column 2, lines 55-57), wherein the fusion means comprises an inductor to supply alternating current at first and second frequencies, wherein the first may be lower than the second (abstract).

As to claim 3, EP '138 discloses a capacitor (38), an induction generator (36), and a function generator (34) (Figure 1).

As to claim 4, the induction generator (36) is capable to generate 10 to 300 kW.

As to claim 5, the frequency can be formed between 1 to 20 kHz.

As to claim 6, the modulation frequency can be from 0.5 to 10 Hz.

As to claims 7 and 8, the crucible can be hot or cold.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary sikl in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Art Unit: 1793

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

 Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP '138 in view of Eckert (US 5,968,223).

EP '138 discloses the claimed invention above, but lacks the mentioning of susceptors in the crucible.

However, Eckert discloses baffle heaters placed in the crucible to promote heating of the molten metal by both sides of the baffle heaters (col. 4, lines 17-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicants' invention was made to have baffle heaters, as taught by Eckert, in EP '138, for the purpose of promoting heat in the molten metal.

Response to Arguments

11. The examiner acknowledges the applicants' amendment provided with the request for continued examination received by the USPTO on May 12, 2008. Upon review, new objections to the drawings and abstract, as well as new 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejections, are raised in above sections 1-5. Claims 1-12 remain under consideration in the application.

Art Unit: 1793

 Applicants' arguments filed May 12, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the applicants' remarks/arguments on pages 5 and 6 of the amendment, the applicants argue that EP '138 fails to disclose "a single electrical circuit configured to supply a single inductor". The examiner respectfully disagrees. In the abstract, EP '138 discloses an induction melting apparatus (see Figure 1) that has induction coils 14 operated by agitation and melting power supply circuits (16,18) for input of melting power at a first frequency for induction heating and a second frequency for inducing turbulence. Also, the supply circuits are controlled by induction power device 36. Therefore, the power supply device 36 is a single electrical circuit to control both melting caused by 16 and agitation caused by 18 as claimed in the claimed limitations. Finally, it is noted that the applicants did not specifically address the merits of the Eckert reference as applied to the 35 USC 103(a) rejections (on page 6 of the remarks section). As a result, claims 1-12 remain rejected.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (571)272-1178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-5:00pm.

Art Unit: 1793

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jessica Ward can be reached on (571) 272-1223. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin P. Kerns Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793

/Kevin P. Kerns/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793 August 13, 2008